

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,479	01/22/2002		Andreas Jakob	34351	6519
116	7590	06/08/2005		EXAM	INER
PEARNE &			NI, SUHAN		
1801 EAST 9' SUITE 1200	TH STR	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108				2643	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/054,479	JAKOB, ANDREAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suhan Ni	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may altion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG or statute, cause the application to become.	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 March 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission for RCE filed on 03/10/2005 has been entered.
- 2. This communication is responsive to the applicant's amendment filed on 03/10/2005.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R.1.130 (b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 34-68 of U.S.P. Application, 09/804,848. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-34 of this application are similar in scope to claim 34-68 of the previously mentioned application, 09/804,848 with obvious wording variations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perkins (U. S. Pat. 6,084,975) in view of Williams et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,754,472).

Regarding claim 22, Perkins discloses a binaural hearing device set, comprising: a pair of hearing devices (Fig. 3); and a communication link (66) between said hearing devices, wherein said communication link includes a body electrode (Fig. 3) for providing an electrically connective communication pathway through the body of an user of the set as claimed. But Perkins does not clearly teach of by using the body of an individual wearing said binaural hearing device set as an electrical conductor as claimed. Williams et al. disclose a method and apparatus for transmitting signals using the human body (Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to provide the pathway including a wire and body (Fig. 2) taught by Williams et al. for transmitting signals

Application/Control Number: 10/054,479 Page 4

Art Unit: 2643

and linking of the hearing devices, in order to effectively and efficiently transmitting signals

through the human body of the user of the hearing devices.

Regarding claims 23-24 and 27, Perkins further discloses the binaural hearing device set,

wherein the link has at least a single wire (66) and a magnet (80, 82) as claimed.

Regarding claims 25 and 28-33, Perkins does not clearly teach for the details of the

conductive member of the link as claimed. Since Williams et al. disclose a communication

technique for transmitting signals in user's body (Fig. 1), it therefore would have been obvious to

one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to provide a suitable

conductive member, such as the communication technique taught by Williams et al. for

transmitting signals and linking of the hearing devices, in order to provide a desirable and

simpler communications between each of the hearing devices.

Regarding claim 26, Perkins further discloses the binaural hearing device set, wherein the

set comprises a magnetic connection arrangement (80) between at least one of the end of said

wire and at least one of said two hearing devices.

Regarding claim 34, Perkins further discloses the binaural hearing device set, wherein the

hearing devices are hearing aid devices as claimed.

Method claims 1-21 are similar to claims 22-34 except for being couched in method

terminology; such methods would be inherent when the structure is shown in the references.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,479

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6.

examiner should be directed to Suhan Ni whose telephone number is (571)-272-7505, and the

number for fax machine is (703)-872-9306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday

through Thursday from 9:00 am to 7:30 pm. If it is necessary, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis

Kuntz, can be reached at (571)-272-7499.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding 8.

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-2600, or

please see http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2600.

Page 5

June 2, 2005